

## Study of Traditional Fairness Congestion Control Algorithm in Realistic WSN Scenarios

V. Vijaya Raja<sup>1</sup>, R. Rani Hemamalini<sup>2</sup> and A. Jose Anand<sup>3</sup>

1. Research Scholar, St. Peter's University, Chennai, India,

2. Professor and Head, St. Peter's College of Engineering and Technology, Chennai, India,

3. Assistant Professor, Jaya Engineering College, Chennai, India,

### Abstract

Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs) make possible many new emerging applications in a wide range of domains. WSN has large number of tiny sensor nodes densely distributed to produce high packet flow near the sink due to the convergent nature of upstream traffic. Congestion cause packet loss and due to this packet loss the throughput may be lowered and also, congestion leads to excessive energy consumption. Therefore congestion has to be controlled to prolong the sensor nodes lifetime, in terms of throughput. This paper made a study of traditional fairness congestion control algorithm named Congestion Control and Fairness (CCF) algorithm in realistic different scenarios. Implementations are carried out in NS 2, a real time simulator for WSN and analyzed the performance of throughput. We find that the performance of throughput degrades by 1 – 2 % when the number of nodes is increased from 60 to 80 and 100. Moreover, the throughput decreases linearly when the simulation time steps in for all the scenarios.

Index – congestion control, fairness, sensor nodes, throughput, wireless sensor networks.

### 1. Introduction

WSN consists of large amounts of wireless sensor nodes, which are compact, light-weighted, and battery-powered devices that can be used in virtually any environment. With this data simple computations are carried out and communicate with other sensor nodes or controlling authorities in the network [21]. Wireless communication is a major source of energy consumption in WSNs. WSN have lately attracted in plenty of applications which include environment monitoring such as temperature, sound, pressure, vibration, pollutants, etc. at different locations, mobile object tracking, and navigation applications. All these applications consist of many inexpensive wireless sensor nodes that are capable of collecting, processing and storing various information. These applications are normally implemented in an ad hoc manner to operate in a distributed way.

In wireless sensor applications all sensor nodes periodically report data to a single sink node which

realizes a many-to-one communication model [3]. The congestion traffic is found in two streams, named downstream traffic and upstream traffic. The downstream traffic from the sink to the wireless sensor nodes is a one-to-many communication model. The upstream traffic from sensor nodes to the sink is a many-to-one communication model. In this paper, a study on traditional fairness upstream congestion control protocol for WSN is made and an example for single-path upstream model is shown in figure 1.




Figure 1 Upstream communication model

The convergent nature of the upstream traffic in the upstream direction probably appears as congestion and the upstream traffic will create high bit rate with the development of diverse application in the wireless sensor networks. Thus congestion leads to packet losses and increased transmission latency and has direct impact on the energy-efficiency and congestion must be efficiently controlled. Every packet transmitted in the wireless sensor networks contains useful information, which can be utilized through packet-based computation and to enhance congestion control. The wireless sensor network packet computation has small packet forwarding rate and the forwarding computation capability is limited.

Most of the time the sensor nodes are modeled with limited energy, as a result the sensor nodes

lacks recharging issues. But still wireless nodes packet-based computation is preferred since it is generally known that the computation utilizes reduced energy than the communication [1]. There are two types of congestion that could occur in WSNs and is shown in figure 2 [18]. The first type is node-level congestion that is common in conventional networks. It is caused by buffer overflow in the node and can result in packet loss and increased queuing delay. Packet loss in turn can lead to retransmission and therefore consumes additional energy. For WSNs where wireless channels are shared by several nodes using CSMA like (Carrier Sense Multiple Access) protocols, collisions could occur when multiple active sensor nodes try to seize the channel at the same time. This can be referred to as link-level congestion. Link-level congestion increases packet service time, and decreases both link utilization and overall throughput, and wastes energy at the sensor nodes. Both node level and link-level congestions have direct impact on energy efficiency and QoS.




Figure 2 Congestion in WSNs

To attain the quality of service requirements, the network resources should be used in a fair and efficient manner. Moreover, techniques such as data compression, data fusion and aggregation become very useful in maintaining robustness. Due to the changes in node mobility and wireless channel failure, the wireless sensor network seems to be unreliable in nature. In order to efficiently use the wireless sensor network for real-time applications the issues related to the wireless protocols are reduced. It is studied that the following issues have to be solved when the wireless protocol is used in WSNs.

- Bit Error Rate
- Route Recomputation
- Network Partitions
- Multipath Routing
- Latency
- Window Translation Rate

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews about the related literature and section 3 describes the detailed design of the traditional fairness congestion control protocol in wireless sensor networks. Section 4 details the experimental setup of the traditional fairness congestion control protocol using NS 2, and performance analysis for the metric throughput, and finally conclusion and future scope is given in section 5.

## 2. Related Work

In this section, we review the prior work on improving the congestion control over wireless sensor networks. Sichitiu [14] proposed a cross-layer TDMA-based protocol that guarantees collision-free communication by scheduling slots for each node and results in significant energy savings. This has the main challenge to determine the collision-free slots that are to be assigned to wireless nodes in a multiple-hop network.

Davy et al [5] presented policy-based architecture to enable autonomic communications. To implement autonomic algorithms and protocols that manages the network. Thus, the autonomic plane should be divided into two blocks. The knowledge sub-plane performs the first task, while the control sub-plane performs the latter, using policies to configure the behavior of the algorithms.

Baldauf et al [2] presented a survey on context-aware systems. The network makes requests based on addresses, service and data mobility is a big issue. Whenever a service is inserted, deleted or moved, a lengthy notification process must be undertaken. All nodes on the network that are using this service or that cached its location must be informed of its new position. Another problem with content being bound to an address is that Content Delivery Network (CDN-like) mirroring requires a non-trivial set of mechanisms, such as Domain Name System (DNS) redirects and explicit content rewriting.

Wang et al [18] proposed a novel upstream congestion control protocol for WSNs named Priority based Congestion Control Protocol, which introduced node priority index to reflect the importance of each sensor node. This utilizes a cross-layer optimization and imposes a hop-by-hop approach to control congestion.

Mohammad et al [10] presented a new Queue based Congestion Control Protocol with priority support, using the queue length as an indication of congestion degree. In this approach, the rate

assignment to each traffic source is based on its priority index as well as its current congestion degree.

Chonggang et al [4] proposed a node priority-based congestion control protocol for wireless sensor networks. In this, the node priority index is introduced to reflect the importance of each node and uses packet inter-arrival time along with packet service time to measure a parameter defined as congestion degree and imposes hop-by-hop control based measurement as well as node priority index.

Ibrahim et al [7] addressed non-trivial performance problems in contention-based wireless networks and presented a method for admission control in contention-based networks, implemented as a component of a performance management system. The system can be used as a tool for dimensioning and configuration as well as for real-time admission control. The often unpredictable dynamics in contention-based access networks means that continuous performance control is needed to maintain a desired quality of service.

Ni et al [11] proposed a data fusion strategy for WSNs based on trust and cluster. In the intra-cluster, the data fusion by setting up the relay node and using the trust value of the node as weight of data. The selection principle of relay node, the process of choose the relay node and the data fusion based on the trust are discussed. The effective and energy consumption of the data fusion algorithm are analyzed and illustrated through an example.

Liqiang et al [9] proposed an energy efficient congestion control scheme for sensor networks called Enhanced Congestion Detection and Avoidance which comprises of three mechanisms. First, the approach uses buffer and weighted buffer difference for congestion detection. Secondly, proposed a bottleneck-node-based source data sending rate control scheme and finally uses a flexible queue scheduler for packets transfer.

Wang et al [19] proposed a novel network cognition and congestion control model based on Neuroendocrine-immune system that introduced natural inspired computation concept and is designed to improve the overall transmission performance of heterogeneous network.

Lazarou et al [8] proposed a cluster head method to allow parallel transmission of data packets to form a schedule by arranging data transfer at each round. The cluster head accepts request for data transfer and assigns a slot for each node wishing to transmit. Each node of data

transfer is divided into contention, data transmission and idle period. In WSN the single point of failure is eliminated by providing a decentralized control and nodes that have no data to send waste time slots in the contention period where idle listening and overhearing occurs.

Seshadri et al [12] proposed a router-assisted congestion control protocol called QFCP. The QFCP can significantly shorten the flow completion time, fairly allocate bandwidth resource, and is robust to non-congestion related losses. Also an extensive study on quality of service for real time multimedia application is made and results showed an improved QoS for specified TCP based scenarios.

Shuqiang et al [13] presented a routing protocol called cross-layer AODV, based on a cross-layer design and ad hoc on-demand distance vector routing protocol. The proposed protocol adopts two mechanisms called delaying transmission and efficient broadcasting to address the broadcast storm problems in WSNs such as high probability of collisions and redundancy of broadcasting.

Tongying et al [16] proposed that information fusion is an effective way to reduce the communication data, and to save the energy consumption and thereby extend the life of the network. This is done with the specific analysis of an information fusion algorithm based on rough set.

Young et al [20] suggested an adaptive rate control for congestion avoidance in WBANs. The scheme performs rate control dynamically each node based on a predication model which uses rate function including congestion risk degree and valuation function, without requiring congestion detection and congestion notification steps.

Vasos et al [17] examined the performance of SenTCP, Directed Diffusion and HTAP, with respect to their ability to maintain low delays, to support the required data rates and to minimize packet losses under different topologies. The topologies used as simple diffusion, constant placement, R-random placement and grid placement. It is shown that the congestion control performance in sensor networks can significantly be improved to forward the data in case of congestion.

### 3. Traditional Fairness Congestion Control Algorithm

CCF is a distributed and scalable algorithm that eliminates the congestion within a sensor network and ensures the fair delivery of packets to a sink node [6]. CCF is designed to work with any

MAC protocol in the data-link layer. CCF uses packet service time to deduce the available service rate. Congestion information is implicitly reported. It controls congestion in a hop-by-hop manner and each node uses exact rate adjustment based on its available service rate and child node number. CCF guarantees simple fairness. CCF has two problems [18]. The rate adjustment in CCF relies only on packet service time which could lead to low utilization when some sensor nodes do not have enough traffic or there is a significant packet error rate. Moreover, it cannot effectively allocate the remaining capacity and as it uses work conservation scheduling algorithm, it has a low throughput in the case that some nodes do not have any packet to send. CCF is used as a distributed and scalable algorithm to eliminate congestion within a sensor network and ensures the fair delivery of packets to the sink node. CCF guarantees simple fairness and has two major problems [4].

Consider a set of  $N$  wireless sensor nodes and each wireless sensor node has an infinite amount of data to be sent to a single destination. The nodes will create data traffic and route traffic through the other nodes. That is all the node can act both as a source and a router. The wireless sensor nodes sense the information periodically and encode the information into data packets. The encoded information in the form of data packets are then sends to the destination or sinks [15]. Let  $f_i$  be the flow originating from the node  $i$  and  $r_i$  be the rate at which the flow  $f_i$  is generated into the sensor network. It is assigned that the data flow is fair enough and the data rate is efficient. The rate does not include the rate at which node  $i$  forwards the traffic. When there is a collision on the link near the node  $i$ , then the node  $i$  and its neighboring nodes should reduce the channel utilization in order to prevent further link-level congestion. The protocol will improve the channel quality by including a phase-shifting effect among neighboring nodes.




Figure 3 Flowchart for CCF Algorithm

Figure 3 depicts the flowchart executed at each node for the congestion control. The control interval is the time period over which a node takes a control decision regarding the increase or decrease in the transmission rates of the flows originated by the node itself and the flows being routed through the node. The congestion control algorithm is invoked every control interval at the gateway nodes. A node is called a gateway node if it is one hop away from the sink.

#### Congestion Control Algorithm

The CCF congestion control algorithm has the following steps to be executed at each node in every control interval.

##### Step 1

Measure the average rate ( $r_{out}$ ) at which the packets are sent from the node, the average aggregate input rate ( $r_{in}$ ), and the minimum number of packets in the output queue which is seen by an arriving packet in a control interval ( $Q$ ).

##### Step 2

Based on the difference between  $r_{out}$  and  $r_{in}$ , and  $Q$ , compute  $\Delta r$ . This is the total change in aggregate traffic.

$$\Delta r = \alpha \times (r_{out} - r_{in}) - \beta \times (Q / \gamma)$$

Step 3

Assign  $\Delta r$  into individual flows to achieve fairness

Step 4

Compare the bandwidth computed for each flow with the bandwidths. Use and propagate the smaller rate upstream.

Algorithm Requirements

The following are the congestion control algorithm requirements.

- i. Estimation of average aggregate output rate
- ii. Estimation of average aggregate input rate
- iii. Computation of the total change in aggregate traffic required to control efficiency
- iv. Assign the total change in aggregate traffic into individual flows to obtain desired fairness
- v. Propagation of rate upstream
- vi. Estimation of the control interval

#### 4. Simulation Results

The simulations are implemented with three different scenarios using wireless sensor nodes communicating via IEEE 802.11 MAC layer protocol model with a transmission range of 200 meters. The simulation environment is implemented in the NS-2, a network simulator that provides support for simulating wireless networks.

NS-2 is written using C++ language and uses the Object Oriented Tool Command Language (OTCL). It is an extension of the Tool Command Language (TCL). The simulations are carried out using a sensor environment roaming over a simulation area of 1500 meters x 1500 meters flat space operating for 600 seconds of simulation time.

The network topology used in the simulation is a simple single-path routing model. Nodes in this simulation move according to the Random Way Point Mobility model [20], which is in random direction with speed ranges that vary from 0 m/s to 20 m/s and the buffer size is set to 100 packets.

The mobility of different levels is obtained by changing the maximum node speed with a pause time of one second. The sensing node in WSN is usually stationary or moves with a walking speed of about 1 m/s. The simulation model bandwidth is

normalized to 10, and in an ideal case each sensor node might receive a throughput of 0.1667 approximately.

We evaluate the performance of the CCF protocol [6] on a wireless network environment in the following three different scenarios.

Scenario 1 - A wireless environment consisting of 60 wireless sensor nodes.

Scenario 2 - A wireless environment consisting of 80 wireless sensor nodes.

Scenario 3 - A wireless environment consisting of 100 wireless sensor nodes.

Performance has been analyzed for the metrics throughput and is explained below in a detailed way.

Throughput

Throughput is defined as the ratio of average rate of successful message delivery over a communication channel. This ratio represents the routing effectiveness and throughput of the routing protocol in delivering data to the intended sink within the network. Figure 4 shows the analysis of throughput of CCF protocol under different scenarios. From the graph, it is seen that the performance of scenario 1 is better than the other two scenarios. By an average among three scenarios, CCF achieves a throughput of 89.24 %. It is also seen that there will be a decrease in throughput by nearly 2 % when the simulation time steps in for all the three scenarios. This is because more no of packets will be distributed to the network when time is increased.




Figure 4 Analysis of Throughput

#### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, the traditional fairness congestion control protocol is implemented in NS 2 with different scenarios. This is a distributed algorithm that seeks to assign fairness and rate efficiently to

each node. This algorithm very aggregately monitors each nodal input and output traffic rate. The algorithm varies the transmission rate of the node and its upstream nodes. This introduces node priority index and is simulated for a single-path routing environment. The simulation result shows that the fairness algorithm provides remarkable throughput, and is able to attain fairness for all the sensor nodes in the network and acquire the transmission rate quickly. This work can be extended for multi-path routing environment too. An agent based approach can be used with the fairness congestion control algorithm to further reduce packet loss and which in turn improves energy-efficiency, and provides lower delay. This work can also be extended to integrate data aggregation schemes to reduce further energy consumption and to increase the battery life-time of the sensor node.

#### References

- [1]. Akyildiz I. F., Su W., Sankarasubramaniam Y., and Cayirci E., "Wireless sensor networks: A survey", *Journal on Computer Networks*, Vol. 38, pp. 393-422, 2002.
- [2]. Baldauf M., Dustdar S., and Rosenberg F., "A survey on context-aware systems," *International Journal of Ad Hoc and Ubiquitous Computing*, Vol. 2, No. 4, pp. 263-277, 2007.
- [3]. Chih-Min Chao, and Yi-Wei Lee, "A Quorum-Based Energy-Saving MAC Protocol Design for Wireless Sensor Networks", *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, Vol. 59, No. 2, pp. 813-822, Feb. 2010.
- [4]. Chonggang Wang, Kazem Sohraby, Victor Lawrence, Bo Li, and Yueming Hu, "Priority-based Congestion Control in Wireless Sensor Networks", *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Sensor Networks, Ubiquitous, and Trustworthy Computing (SUTC '06)*, IEEE Computer Society, 2006.
- [5]. Davy S., Barrett K., Balasubramaniam S., van der Meer S., Jennings B. and Strassner J., "Policy-based architecture to enable autonomic communications - a position paper", In *3<sup>rd</sup> IEEE Consumer Communications and Networking Conference (CCNC)*, pp. 590-594, 2006.
- [6]. Ee C. T., and Bajcsy R., "Congestion control and fairness for many-to-one routing in sensor networks", *Proceedings of the ACM Sensys*, Nov. 2004.
- [7]. Ibrahim Orhan, and Thomas Lindh, "Measurement-Based Admission Control in Wireless Sensor Networks", *Fourth International Conference on Sensor Technologies and Applications*, IEEE Computer Society, pp. 447-452, IEEE 2010.
- [8]. Lazarou G. Y., Li J., and Picone J., "A Cluster-based Power-Efficient MAC Scheme for Event-Driven Sensing Applications", *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Ad hoc Networks*, Vol. 5, No. 7, pp. 1017-1030, Sep. 2007.
- [9]. Liqiang Tao and Fengqi Yu, "ECODA: Enhanced Congestion Detection and Avoidance for Multiple Class of Traffic in Sensor Networks", *Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> Asia-Pacific Conference on Communications (APCC 2009)*, pp. 726-730, 2009.
- [10]. Mohammad Hossein Yaghmaee and Donald Adjero, "A New Priority Based Congestion Control Protocol for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks", *Proceeding of the IEEE*, 2008.
- [11]. Ni Yang, Tian Li-qin, and Shen Xue-li, "The Research of Dynamic Data Fusion based-on Node Behavior Trust for WSNs", *Proceedings of the International Conference On Computer Design and Applications (ICCD 2010)*, Volume 2, pp. 534-538, IEEE 2010.
- [12]. Seshadri R., and Penchalaiah N., "Improving quality of services from TCP/IP performance degradation", *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, Vol. 3 (1), PP. 20-24, 2011.
- [13]. Shuqiang Zhang, Wei Guo and Kai Wen, "On the Broadcast Storm Problems of Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Cross-layer Design", *Proceedings of the IEEE* 2008.
- [14]. Sichitiu M. L., "Cross-Layer Scheduling for Power Efficiency in Wireless Sensor Networks", *Proceedings of the IEEE INFOCOM '04*, March 2004.
- [15]. Swastik Brahma, Mainak Chatterjee, and Kevin Kwiat, "Congestion Control and Fairness in Wireless Sensor Networks", *Proceedings of the IEEE*, pp. 413-418, IEEE 2010.

- [16]. Tongying Li, and Minrui Fei, "Information Fusion in Wireless Sensor Network based on Rough Set", Proceedings of the International Conference on NIDC, IEEE, pp. 129-134, 2009.
- [17]. Vasos Vassiliou and Charalambos Sergiou, "Performance Study of Node Placement for Congestion Control in Wireless Sensor Networks", Proceedings of the WSN Workshop, NTMS, IEEE 2009.
- [18]. Wang C., Li B., Sohraby K., Daneshmand M., and Hu Y., "Upstream Congestion Control in Wireless Sensor Networks Through Cross-Layer Optimization", IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 786-795, May 2007.
- [19]. Wang Hui, Liu Wei, Li Zheqing, and Fan Zhihui, "A novel network cognition and congestion control model based on NEI in next generation network", Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> International Conference on Computer Research and Development (ICCRD), Vol. 2, pp. 47, March 2011.
- [20]. Young-mi Baek, Byung-hwa Lee, Jilong Li, Qin Shu, Ji-hum Han, and Ki-ju Han, "An adaptive rate control for congestion avoidance in wireless body area networks", Proceeding of the IEEE, 2009.
- [21]. Yuanzhu Peter Chen, Arthur L. Liestman, and Jiangchuan Liu, "A Hierarchical Energy-Efficient Framework for Data Aggregation in Wireless Sensor Networks", IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, Vol. 55, No. 3, pp. 789-796, May 2006.